

## **NSSE 2016 Engagement Indicators**

## Comparisons with High-Performing Institutions Middle Tennessee State University

## Comparisons with Top 50% and Top 10% Institutions

While NSSE's policy is not to rank institutions (see nsse.indiana.edu/html/position\_policies.cfm), the results below are designed to compare the engagement of your students with those attending two groups of institutions identified by NSSE<sup>a</sup> for their high average levels of student engagement:

- (a) institutions with average scores placing them in the top 50% of all 2015 and 2016 NSSE institutions, and
- (b) institutions with average scores placing them in the top 10% of all 2015 and 2016 NSSE institutions.

While the average scores for most institutions are below the mean for the top 50% or top 10%, your institution may show areas of distinction where your average student was as engaged as (or even more engaged than) the typical student at high-performing institutions. A check mark ( $\checkmark$ ) signifies those comparisons where your average score was at least comparable to that of the high-performing group. However, the presence of a check mark does not necessarily mean that your institution was a member of that group.

It should be noted that most of the variability in student engagement is within, not between, institutions. Even "high-performing" institutions have students with engagement levels below the average for all institutions.

First-Year Students			Your first-year students compared with				
		MTSU	NSSE Top 50%		NSSE Top 10%		
Theme	Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	Effect size ✓	Mean	Effect size	
	Higher-Order Learning	37.2	40.5 ***	24	42.7 ***	40	
Academic	Reflective and Integrative Learning	34.0	37.4 ***	27	39.5 ***	44	
Challenge	Learning Strategies	37.6	41.2 ***	25	43.7 ***	43	
	Quantitative Reasoning	24.7	29.4 ***	30	31.3 ***	41	
Learning	Collaborative Learning	30.4	35.2 ***	35	37.3 ***	51	
with Peers	Discussions with Diverse Others	41.8	42.7	06 ✓	44.3 **	17	
Experiences	Student-Faculty Interaction	18.2	23.8 ***	37	26.9 ***	55	
with Faculty	Effective Teaching Practices	38.0	41.6 ***	26	43.8 ***	43	
Campus	Quality of Interactions	39.7	44.1 ***	37	45.9 ***	51	
Environment	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	36.0	39.2 ***	23	40.9 ***	36	

Seniors			Your seniors compared with				
		MTSU	NSSE Top 50%		NSSE Top 10%		
Theme	Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	Effect size ✓	Mean	Effect size ✓	
	Higher-Order Learning	37.4	43.1 ***	42	44.7 ***	53	
Academic	Reflective and Integrative Learning	34.7	41.0 ***	49	42.9 ***	65	
Challenge	Learning Strategies	37.5	42.2 ***	32	44.5 ***	49	
	Quantitative Reasoning	27.0	31.8 ***	28	33.2 ***	37	
Learning	Collaborative Learning	29.1	35.8 ***	48	37.9 ***	64	
with Peers	Discussions with Diverse Others	38.7	43.3 ***	29	45.1 ***	40	
Experiences	Student-Faculty Interaction	22.5	29.6 ***	44	33.0 ***	64	
with Faculty	Effective Teaching Practices	38.4	42.7 ***	31	44.5 ***	45	
Campus	Quality of Interactions	41.7	45.3 ***	31	46.9 ***	44	
Environment	Supportive Environment	29.0	35.7 ***	48	38.1 ***	65	

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation; \*p < .05, \*\*p < .01, \*\*\*p < .001 (2-tailed).

a. Precision-weighted means (produced by Hierarchical Linear Modeling) were used to determine the top 50% and top 10% institutions for each Engagement Indicator from all NSSE 2015 and 2016 institutions, separately for first-year and senior students. Using this method, Engagement Indicator scores of institutions with relatively large standard errors were adjusted toward the mean of all students, while those with smaller standard errors received smaller corrections. As a result, schools with less stable data—even those with high average scores—may not be among the top scorers. NSSE does not publish the names of the top 50% and top 10% institutions because of our commitment not to release institutional results and our policy against ranking institutions.

b. Check marks are assigned to comparisons that are either significant and positive, or non-significant with an effect size > -.10.