



## PERFORMANCE FUNDING PROGRAM

### Points Awarded per Year 2010-2015 Cycle

Performance Funding Standard	Max. Points Possible	2010-11 Points Awarded	2011-12 Points Awarded	2012-13 Points Awarded	2013-14 Points Awarded	2014-15 Points Awarded
<b>Standard One – Quality of Student Learning and Engagement</b>						
Std. 1A: General Education Assessment	15	15				
Std. 1B: Major Field Assessment	15	15				
Std. 1C: Academic Programs: Accreditation and Implementation	25	22				
Std. 1D: Satisfaction Studies (NSSE, Alumni, Employer)	10	9.5				
Std. 1E (Community Colleges Only)	--	--				
Std. 1F: Assessment Implementation – QEP	10	8				
<b>Standard Two – Quality of Student Access and Student Success</b>						
Std. 2: Student Access and Student Success	25	23				
<b>Total Performance Funding Points</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>92.5</b>				
<b>Performance Funding Dollars Awarded</b>		n/a				
<b>Average Point Value</b>		n/a				

n/a = not available at this time

# MTSU 2010-11 Performance Funding Results by Standard

## STANDARD ONE - QUALITY OF STUDENT LEARNING AND ENGAGEMENT

### ***STANDARD 1A: GENERAL EDUCATION ASSESSMENT (CCTST SENIOR EXAM)***

Maximum Points .....	15
Awarded Points .....	15

MTSU's average score on the California Critical Thinking Skills Test (CCTST) exam was 17.0 compared to the 16.8 national average. MTSU's average score has exceeded the national average every year since the CCTST was designated the official general education exam at MTSU. Seniors who graduate fall, spring, or summer semester are required to take the general education exam.

### ***STANDARD 1B: MAJOR FIELD TESTING***

Maximum Points .....	15
Awarded Points .....	15

Major field tests were administered to seniors in 45 majors during 2010-11, during fall semester and again in spring semester. Results for the following undergraduate programs were reported, in accordance with the Performance Funding schedule.

1. Special Education	2. Early Childhood Education
3. Art Education	4. Business Education
5. Health Education	6. Interdisciplinary Studies
7. Physical Education	8. Nursing
9. Agribusiness	10. Animal Science
11. Plant & Soil Science	12. Computer Science
13. Concrete Industry Management	14. Biology
15. Mathematics	

Nursing and teacher licensure results are reported every year in Performance Funding.

### ***STANDARD 1C: ACADEMIC PROGRAMS: ACCREDITATION and EVALUATION***

Maximum Points .....	25
Awarded Points .....	22

#### **ACCREDITATION**

Maximum Points	5
Awarded Points	5

All creditable programs are accredited.

### **PROGRAM REVIEWS: UNDERGRADUATE**

Maximum Points 10  
Awarded Points 10

The following four undergraduate programs were reviewed during AY 2010-11 utilizing the traditional program review format: University Studies, International Relations, Political Science and Sociology. All four programs were determined to have met all standards included in the review.

### **PROGRAM REVIEWS: GRADUATE**

Maximum Points 10  
Awarded Points 7

The following graduate programs were reviewed during AY 2010-11: Criminal Justice, Sociology and History. The Criminal Justice program underwent joint review with TSU.

<b>Qualitative Standards</b>	<b>Criminal Justice, MCJ</b>	<b>Sociology, MA</b>	<b>History, MA</b>
Student Experience	2.0	3.0	2.5
Graduate Faculty Quality	1.5	2.8	2.7
Teaching/Learning Environment	2.3	3.0	1.7
Program Evaluation	1.3	2.5	1.5
Overall Average	1.7	2.8	2.2

Rating scale: 0 = poor, 1= minimally acceptable, 2= good, 3= excellent

### ***STANDARD 1D: SATISFACTION STUDIES (STUDENT ENGAGEMENT: NSSE\*)***

Maximum Points .....10

Awarded Points .....9.5

\* National Survey of Student Engagement

Detailed survey results are available at <http://www.mtsu.edu/iepr/surveys.php> .

*(Note: Standard 1E, Job Placement, applies to community colleges only)*

***STANDARD 1F: ASSESSMENT IMPLEMENTATION (QEP)***

Maximum Points .....	10
Awarded Points .....	8

A progress report on our Quality Enhancement Plan (QEP) was submitted as part of the Performance Funding Report. The institutional reports are evaluated for THEC by assessment teams, who decide the number of points to be awarded based on a qualitative review.

**STANDARD TWO – QUALITY OF STUDENT ACCESS and  
STUDENT SUCCESS**

***STANDARD 2: STUDENT ACCESS AND STUDENT SUCCESS***

Maximum Points .....	25
Awarded Points .....	23

At the beginning of the cycle, institutions were instructed to select five student sub-populations from a list of 11 options, including an Institutional Selection. MTSU's selected groups, along with point information, are presented below.

No.	Sub-Population	3 Yr. Avg. Benchmark	2009-10 Attainment	Percent Attained	Points Awarded
1	Adults	1,319	1,336	100%	5
2	Low Income	1,334	1,561	100%	5
3	African Americans	387	411	100%	5
4	Institutional Selection: Veterans	92	115	100%	5
5	Bachelor's Graduates with Previously Earned Associates Degrees	368	345	94%	3